

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/755,708	01/12/2004	Mark R. Fernald	WEAT/0553	9757	
36735	7590 12/15/2006		EXAMINER		
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.			HUGHES, JAMES P		
HOUSTON,	DAK BOULEVARD, SUITE 15 TX 77056	E 1500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2883		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/755,708	FERNALD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
James P. Hughes	2883		

	James 1: Hughes	2000	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ldress
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE 1	THIS APPLICATION IN COND	ITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to o this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completime periods:	ollowing replies: (1) an amendr Notice of Appeal (with appeal iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	ment, affidavit, or other evidentely fee) in compliance with 37 to	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the ma			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a)	ire later than SIX MONTHS from t	he mailing date of the final rejec	ction.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period cunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 NOTICE OF APPEAL	of extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for later than three months after the n	amount of the fee. The approprepty originally set in the final O	priate extension fee ffice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co	ompliance with 37 CFR 41.37	must be filed within two mon	iths of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be f AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	on, but prior to the date of filing	a brief will not be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE to	r consideration and/or search (2004400
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or		erially reducing or simplifying	g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling		inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(. (270) 200
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		Non-Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would b 		anarate timely filed amendo	nent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	e anowable il sublititted ili a st	sparate, timely med amendin	ient canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		o) 🔲 will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fil entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces 	to overcome <u>all</u> rejections und sary and was not earlier prese	er appeal and/or appellant f ented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	fails to provide a)(1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanate REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	ation of the status of the claim	s after entry is below or atta	ched.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	d but does NOT place the appl	ication in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13.			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants statement that the elements of all claims rejected are not taught or suggested by any of the prior art rejections is not persuasive because, at least, Waiters (6,033,515) in view of Maas et al. (5,157,751) as discussed on pages 3-4 of the Final Office Action, read on claim 1.

Frank G. Font
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800